



# Considerations for Commissioners

Biologic and targeted-synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (bDMARDs and tsDMARDs)

For the treatment of refractory rheumatoid arthritis

## Commissioning guidance:

Commissioners may wish to consider the following points relating to the use of bDMARDs and tsDMARDs in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) refractory to prior treatment with tumour necrosis factor- $\alpha$  inhibitors (TNFi) and non-TNFi bDMARDs:

- It was the opinion of the MTRAC committee that a process should be put in place to enable timely access to treatment with bDMARDs and tsDMARDs in patients who need sequential bDMARD treatment. This view is based on specialist advice and opinion from two local Rheumatology centres.
- The published evidence is very limited, but clinical experience suggests benefits in terms of continued improvements and reduced admissions for RA flares for patients receiving fourth/fifth-line bDMARDs or tsDMARDs.
- The choice of therapeutic agent in people with RA is a highly individualized one, and dictated by a range of factors. These can include prior medical history (e.g. Rheumatoid factor status, history of tuberculosis, solid tumour, demyelinating disease), and previous bDMARD history (i.e. adverse effects, lack or loss of efficacy), the patient preference regarding mode and frequency of administration and other health-related quality of life concerns, and other comorbid conditions (e.g. ulcerative colitis), needle phobia, and pregnancy.

## Strength of the evidence for efficacy

The strength of the evidence for efficacy in this latter part of the treatment pathway is extremely weak. Data from subsets of participants in three randomised controlled trials has shown numerically greater ACR20 responses\* with active treatment vs. placebo, but given the very low participant numbers in these groups, the statistical significance of differences between treatment groups is unclear. The Regional Medicines Optimisation Committees (RMOCs) are also considering this area of therapy. A recent newsletter<sup>1</sup> stated “this is a difficult area with a limited evidence base. Limited sequential use of biologics has been covered to varying degrees in NICE guidance although no definitive answer reached due mainly to the lack of evidence.”

\*proportion of patients showing a 20% or more improvement in tender and swollen joint counts and in 3/5 other criteria: pain, disability, CRP, patient and physician global assessment

MTRAC considered the commissioning of sequential RA drugs at the request of local commissioners. The guidance will be reviewed after publication of the Regional Medicines Optimisation opinion on the sequencing of biologics.

## References

1. [Regional Medicines Optimisation Committee Newsletter Issue 2 2019](#) .
2. Allen A et al. Diagnosis and management of rheumatoid arthritis in adults: summary of updated NICE guidance. *BMJ* 2018;362:3015.
3. Scott D, Wolfe F, Huizinga T. Rheumatoid Arthritis. *The Lancet* 2010;376(9746):1094-108.
4. Kyburz D et al. The long-term impact of early treatment of rheumatoid arthritis on radiographic progression: a population-based cohort study. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2011;50(6):1106-10.
5. Ledingham J, Snowden N, Ide Z. Diagnosis and early management of inflammatory arthritis. *BMJ* 2017;358:j3248.
6. England BR et al. Increased cardiovascular risk in rheumatoid arthritis: mechanisms and implications. *BMJ* 2018;361
7. Shaw M et al. Rheumatoid arthritis-associated lung disease. *Eur Respir Rev* 2015;24:1-6.
8. Rheumatoid Arthritis 2018 <https://cks.nice.org.uk/rheumatoid-arthritis>.
9. Rheumatoid arthritis in adults: management (NG100) 2018 <https://nice.org.uk/guidance/ng100>.
10. Singh JA et al. Biologics or tofacitinib for people with rheumatoid arthritis unsuccessfully treated with biologics: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews* 2017;3:Cd012591.
11. Emery P et al. IL-6 receptor inhibition with tocilizumab improves treatment outcomes in patients with rheumatoid arthritis refractory to anti-tumour necrosis factor biologicals: results from a 24-week multicentre randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2008;67(11):1516-23.
12. Burmester GR et al. Tofacitinib (CP-690,550) in combination with methotrexate in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis with an inadequate response to tumour necrosis factor inhibitors: a randomised phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 2013;381(9865):451-60.
13. Genovese MC et al. Baricitinib in Patients with Refractory Rheumatoid Arthritis. *N Engl J Med* 2016;374:1243-52.
14. Smolen JS et al. Patient-reported outcomes from a randomised phase III study of baricitinib in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and an inadequate response to biological agents (RA-BEACON). *Ann Rheum Dis* 2017;76:694-700.
15. Ramiro S et al. Safety of synthetic and biological DMARDs: a systematic literature review informing the 2013 update of the EULAR recommendations for management of rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2014;73(3):529-35.
16. Holroyd CR et al. The British Society for Rheumatology biologic DMARD safety guidelines in inflammatory arthritis. *Rheumatology (Oxford, England)* 2019;58:e3-e42.
17. Two new drugs for rheumatoid arthritis. *DTB*;55:102-05.
18. [Quality and Outcomes Framework, Achievement, prevalence and exceptions data - 2017-18 \[PAS\]](#).



NICE has accredited the process used by the Midlands Therapeutics Review and Advisory Committee to produce Commissioning support summaries. Accreditation is valid for 5 years from 7 March 2017. More information on accreditation can be viewed at [www.evidence.nhs.uk](http://www.evidence.nhs.uk)

For full details of our accreditation visit: [www.nice.org.uk/accreditation](http://www.nice.org.uk/accreditation) Meeting date: March 2019